

ನಿಂದ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಕೇಸು ಹಾಕುವುದು, ಅಪೀಲು ಹೋಗುವುದು, ರೆವ್ಯೂಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದು ಇವೆಲ್ಲಾ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—Have not representations been made both from the District and Taluk Conferences and by several members that the present Acquisition Act is not suited to present day needs and that suitable amendments are to be made, Sir?

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—I have already answered that question, Sir?

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—Will the Government be pleased to consider this aspect threadbare amending the Acquisition Act suitably so as to enable the Government to take quick action, Sir?

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—It is not possible to carry out the Hon'ble Member's suggestion, Sir, because of this reason: it is not merely a question of one party. When we acquire a property, the owners of that property must also be done justice, and their claims and their objections have to be properly investigated. In our anxiety to do things, we should not do injustice to any one party involved.

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—I never meant that. The whole thing is that the Acquisition Act needs some amendment in the interest of getting the work done quicker. I want to know whether you cannot see that this Act is properly amended so as to enable quicker action being taken?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Why not the Hon'ble Member give notice of amendments?

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—Why should not the Government do it? Private bills are so difficult to be brought. This is a matter which is being urged from time to time. Calling for statements of parties even before the acquisition proceedings are started is not contemplated in the Act.

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—The Hon'ble Member knows the law of acquisition. We have to give an opportunity for the parties to make statements; then a value has to be fixed. These formalities have to be gone through. There is no way of escaping from these formalities.

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—It is true. Does the Act provide for statements being called for and

recorded even before the acquisition proceedings are started? It is only at a certain stage after the Gazette notification is issued that such statements are called for under the Act. But now it is being said that these are being held up on account of statements being recorded, spot inspection, etc. Cannot all these lacunae be removed from the Act if suitable amendments are brought up?

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—Sir, whatever may be the Act, when there are disputes and factions, these things will be delayed.

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—Is it the intention of the Government not to look into the Act to see if suitable amendments are brought up?

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—That is an extreme inference which is not warranted by my answer.

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—Will the Government think of considering this aspect and amending the Act, Sir?

**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—No, Sir.

(Several members rose.)

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Strictly speaking, I have no power to extend the period allowed for questions. But since there are only one or two more questions, on the presumption that the House would agree, I am trying to complete the set of questions for the day. It is, therefore, not possible to allow any more supplementaries on this question. Next question.

#### Scheduled Tribes (Amelioration.)

Q.—211. **Sri P. R. RAMAIA** (Basavangudi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) what is the total population of Scheduled Tribes in the State and where they are found;

(b) whether they have collected figures and facts as to what religions they profess and what their social customs are;

(c) what are the educational and other ameliorative facilities provided for them;

(d) whether they have any plan or programme in the matter of ameliorating the conditions of the Scheduled Tribes?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a) 15,310. They are to be found in the Districts of Mysore, Bangalore, Chikmagalur and Shimoga.

(b) Information is collected and published in the Census Report for 1931, Part I-Appendix X.

(c) The schemes are explained in G. O. No. L. B. 458 -95—A. D. C. 21-52-2, dated 11th September 1952, a copy of which is placed on the table of the House. Copy placed in the library *Vide* No. 11—L.A. of 1954.

(d) Yes. *Vide* reply to clause (c) above.

Sri P. R. RAMAIYA.—I put question No. 211, Sir. The Government Order of 11th September 1952 is given; I would like to know how many primary schools have been started for them?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, the scheme is worked out and the work is in progress. I am not in a position to give the number of primary schools started. I will give that information later, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is not clear whether it is general schools or schools for scheduled tribes. If it is for scheduled tribes, the Hon'ble the Chief Minister wants notice.

Sri P. R. RAMAIYA.—I would like the Government to furnish the information regarding the work so far done for the improvement of the scheduled tribes. There are some items given here. I want details about them and I would like the Government to furnish it at their convenience.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I will do so, Sir.

#### Site for Harijans for house construction in Rangasamudra, Y. N. Hosakote Hobli.

Q.—580. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada-Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when the application requesting for the grant of site to construct 20 houses for Harijans of Rangasamudra (Jodi), Y. N. Hosakote Hobli, Pavagada Taluk, was received;

(b) the reason for not yet granting the site;

(c) whether any records are made; the stage at which it is now?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a) No such petition was received.

(b) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (a).

(c) Do do

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಪಾವಗಡ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು, ರಂಗಸಮುದ್ರ ಜೋಡಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ನಿಮಿಷದವರಿಗೆ ಹೌಸ್‌ಗ್ರಾಂಟು ಕೊಡಲು ಹಣವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕೊಡೋಣ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಈಗ ಏನೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ; ಮುಂದಾದರೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೇ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದನಲ್ಲ.

#### Grant from Depressed Class Amelioration Fund to Harijans in Pavagada and Madhugiri Taluks.

Q.—587. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the amount granted to Pavagada Taluk, Madhugiri Taluk, Midigesi Hobli, Itakadibbanahalli Hobli and Kodagenahalli Hobli from the Depressed Class Amelioration Fund during the year 1952-53;

(b) even though the entire amount granted for this purpose is spent, how many houses are there to which doors and windows are not fixed and which are incomplete;

(c) whether it has come to their notice that the Harijans of Mangalavada, Arasikere, Nagalamadike, Polavalli, Venkatapura and Dommathamari villages in Pavagada Taluk are not granted house grants for want of sufficient funds; if so, the steps taken by them so far in this behalf?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

	Rs.
(a) Pavagada Taluk ...	24,300
Madhugiri Taluk ...	17,900
Midigesi Hobli ...	2,725
Itakadibbanahalli Hobli ...	4,800
Kodigenahalli Hobli ..	2,640
(b) Pavagada Taluk ...	70 houses
Madhugiri Taluk ...	9 houses